

Political and Social History

University
Reading
East Anglia

England, 1690-1760

1690-1690
ideas

1688-1756

1700-1837

1681-85

Parliamentary England

1688-1745

Rebellion

Charles II. 1660-1685

COMMUNE, COUNTRY
AND COMMONWEALTH
THE PEOPLE OF CIRENCESTER,
1117-1643

David Rollison

THE BOYDELL PRESS

© David Rollison 2011

All Rights Reserved. Except as permitted under current legislation no part of this work may be photocopied, stored in a retrieval system, published, performed in public, adapted, broadcast, transmitted, recorded or reproduced in any form or by any means, without the prior permission of the copyright owner

The right of David Rollison to be identified as the author of this work has been asserted in accordance with sections 77 and 78 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988

First published 2011

The Boydell Press, Woodbridge

ISBN 978-1-84383-671-1

The Boydell Press is an imprint of Boydell & Brewer Ltd
PO Box 9, Woodbridge, Suffolk IP12 3DF, UK
and of Boydell & Brewer Inc.
668 Mt Hope Avenue, Rochester, NY 14620, USA
website: www.boydellandbrewer.com

A catalogue record for this book is available
from the British Library

The publisher has no responsibility for the continued existence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this book, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

Papers used by Boydell & Brewer Ltd are natural,
recyclable products made from wood grown in sustainable forests

Printed and Bound in the United States of America

List of maps and tables
Preface: A phoenix in flight
Abbreviations
Introduction: Commons
A domination of earth
The crisis of the earth
Classes of the commons
The struggle for commons
A turning-point: the commons
Highpoint of commons
Classes of the commons
Surviving Reform
The tyranny of commons
regime under challenge
Phoenix arising: commons
Only the poor will be
Gentlemen and commons
Commons
The revival of the commons
More than freedom
Parliamentary commons
Commons of commons
Introduction

way, there are more 'middles' and there are a number of possible reasons. As noted by R.W. Hoyle in his analysis of the evidence on the overall health of the town, Cirencester suffered as a result of the decades of the sixteenth century and confiscations of Henry VIII and a national epidemic of 'sweating'. The surviving parish registers begin to show the short-term effects of the epidemic in the 1570s.

(Subsidy, 1 Elizabeth)

50%	155s.	24.7%
25%	126s. 2d.	20.1%
15%	202s.	32.2%
10%	145s.	23.1%
	628s. 2d.	100.1%

payers to this subsidy, Christopher (20s.), John Partridge (40s.) and other tenants and landowners, figure prominently in the records of the commune in the later

Surviving Reformation: the rule of Robert Strange, 1539–70

The manor of Cirencester left the church 'on the morning of 19 December 1539 and was taken into the hands of the Crown', where it remained for eight years. In July 1547 it was granted to Thomas, lord Seymour of Sudeley, who held it until his execution in March 1549.¹ It was then purchased by Sir Anthony Kingston, who died in 1556, another suspected traitor. Elizabeth I granted Abbey House to a more stable proprietor, her physician Richard Master, in June 1564. The aptly named Masters settled down, and were to prove an abiding presence in the turbulent politics of the town for the next two centuries. Thomas Seymour, Anthony Kingston and his successor as lord of the manor, Sir John Danvers, were courtiers. The Masters settled down to become 'gentlemen of town and country'. From the dissolution of the abbey until the 1570s, however, the manor and the parish were run by a small group of local men appointed by the bailiff of courtiers who were too preoccupied with national affairs to have a significant impact on local politics. The lordship of the abbots became, for practical purposes, a bourgeois oligarchy.

In the months following the fall of the abbey the 'custodianship of the site' was granted to a wine merchant named Richard Basing. Problems arose when the crown sold the 'Church Steeple and surplus houses of the late monastery' to a local knight, Sir Anthony Hungerford and his kinsman by marriage, Robert Strange, the late abbot's bailiff. In 1541 these men complained to the king that Basing 'doo dayly and wrongfully interupt and disturb your said supplicants to have and carye away the stones, timber and stuff of the said church steeple ... contrary to all right equite and good concyence'.² It seems that Basing was only granted the abbot's manor house and grounds, not the abbey church, with its 'steeplee' (and all the valuable lead), or the 'surplus houses'. Hungerford and Strange were granted the right to demolish, carry away and sell their scrap. Whatever the exact circumstances, it is evident that the abbey was demolished remarkably quickly.

¹ Reece and Catling, *Cirencester*, 15.

² Richard Reece, 'The Abbey of St Mary, Cirencester', *Trans BGAS* 81 (1962), 198–202; the quote, from PRO E321 17/48, is cited on 201–2.

the scale of the abbey's lordship. In 1539, William Byrt, yeoman, made a lease for 6 cottages, 27 gardens and other buildings belonging to the abbey in the town of North Nibley. Seymour the site of monastery buildings at Cirencester: 458 acres of arable, 100 acres attached to the Grange of Spirin- gton, 257 acres of arable, 7 acres of pasture (St. Nicholas Barn), 33.5 acres of meadow and other freeholds named Pullensbarn, North Nibley Close. 'The profits of the liberty of North Nibley & stallage with the profits of the 20000 waynes entering and leaving the town in the market' (20s.); 'rent and toll of North Nibley and markets' (£8); 'treasure trove of North Nibley, suicides and wainage' (3s. 4d.); 'rent of North Nibley and prepowder courts' (£19 6s. 8d.); 'rent of North Nibley' (3s.), Rapsgate (20s.), Brightwells (22s.). From this he had to pay a fine granted by the abbot to Sir Anthony Strange, bailiff of the Seven Years' War, conferred upon him

by the last abbot, John Blake.⁵ Like that of William Stumpe of North Nibley, who was a 'clothman', and was uniquely successful in selling from the selling-off of the abbey buildings to build himself a house (the Antelope'), another at Chesterton (the Antelope), barns, stables, gardens, orchards and so on. He used £586 to purchase the manor of North Nibley with an estate that included 'two

There is no evidence that he ever lived at Somerford Keynes, but his son and heir certainly did, 'breaking away from the commercial and civic traditions' that had made the family's fortune, in favour of a more genteel lifestyle.⁸ The Stumpes and many other clothier-gentry families followed this proverbial English social pathway. Historically, the Stranges belong to a class of families that is particularly important in the history of this region from the fifteenth to the eighteenth centuries. They demonstrate, if demonstration is needed, that not all the middle-rank merchant families who moved into the breach created by the dissolution of religious estates were committed Protestants. Robert Strange was important because he took an already successful branch of a well-established mercantile family of the Bristol region (which in the Middle Ages included Cirencester) through a troubled period of change.

As for changes in the religion of the people, historians have used the religious formulae found in the prefaces to wills of the immediate post-Reformation decades to measure the onset of Protestantism. Cirencester wills of the 1540s and 1550s suggest their formulae should be used with caution. The traditional catholic formula, in which the testator bequeathed his or her 'sowle unto allmyghtie god and our blessed ladye sancta marie and to all the holye companie of heaven' continued to be used throughout the 1540s, until a few months after the arrival at Gloucester of the evangelical and extremely conscientious John Hooper, in 1549. From 1549 until 1555 (two years after Hooper had been removed) the more neutral, even perfunctory, 'sowle to allmyghtie god' appears.⁹ In the mid-1550s a few minor eccentricities appear, suggesting confusion as to what the authoritative formula was. John Hawle, for example, left his 'sowle to allmyghtie god the lord and giver of all goodness'.¹⁰ By 1557, six years into the reign of the catholic Queen Mary, wills gradually reverted to the catholic formula, with occasionally explicit references to the saints.¹¹ In all probability the vast majority of testators, many unable to write, most 'sick in body' at the time, were not responsible for the formulae used. Wills use the formulae that the clerics who wrote them judged to be acceptable at the time. They may tell us little or nothing about the convictions of the testators.¹²

⁸ GA D4871.

⁹ E.g. Thomas Fyfelde, GA GDR 1552/63; John Manbye, GDR 1554/67; the reference to the redeeming qualities of Christ's blood in Roger Teyll, GDR 1554/110, seems emphatically protestant, but such references are rare at this time.

¹⁰ GDR 1555/81.

¹¹ E.g. the old bailiff, John George, GDR 1556/29, and Edmund Hunte, GDR 1557/69, include reference to saints; Henry Tringham, GDR 1557/81; John Gurney, GDR 1557/166; Joane Titley, GDR 1557/150; John Lyttle, GDR 1557/145; John Dawbury, GDR 1557/165; and John Munde, GDR 1558/123, all use the formulaic 'sowle to almighty god and our blessed saint Mary and all the company of heaven'.

¹² It may be significant that the will of one of the men who wrote the wills of these years, 'Thomas Perpynt, curate', GDR 1563/152, bequeathed 'my soulle to all mightye god and to all the heavenlie companye'; the reference is quite perfunctory and there is no explicit reference either to saints or to the Virgin Mary.

However, the wills of the 1550s do reflect the confusing religious changes that the town, like the rest of the country, was going through at the time. The secular rule of Robert Strange may have represented a reassuring continuity, at least for a few years.

We know him only from a dozen or so sources showing that he was a key figure in the political and religious life of the town up to the 1580s. He was probably a descendant of the Thomas Straunge who was pardoned in 1414 for his involvement in the Guild Merchant movement, and later served as the abbot's bailiff.¹³ Another Robert Strange served as mayor of Bristol in 1474, 1482 and 1489, and died in 1491.¹⁴ Connections between Bristol and Cirencester abounded: most significant Bristol merchants maintained agents at Cirencester, and vice versa; similarly with London, an even more important trade orientation in the sixteenth century than it had been earlier.¹⁵ David Harris Sacks refers to 'well-known men of affairs' who became leaders, first, of Bristol, and then of London. We saw earlier that a member of the Canynges family was among the 'twenty poor men of Cirencester' in 1342. Sacks mentions George Monox, member of another family with a branch at Cirencester.¹⁶ Wherever commodities were manufactured and marketing outlets existed, these families had connections.

There is little evidence of evangelical religion until the fifteen-sixties. Robert Strange and his brothers were what John Bossy, criticizing the use of the phrase 'old religion', called 'survivalists'. 'Being a predominantly social sentiment ("survivalist" catholicism) could persist only where there was a social institution to support it,' writes Bossy.¹⁷ The dissolution of Cirencester Abbey did not mean the dissolution of the secular liberties it had engrossed. Throughout the sixteenth century these were administered on behalf of absentee lords by a small cabal of manorial managers centred on the bailiff and his relations by marriage, the locally prestigious Georges of Baunton. Strange associates all seem to have shared a belief in the 'survival' of the old ways. In 1551 Strange let 'The Antelope', his house at Cirencester, to another wealthy inhabitant, John Chapperlen.¹⁸ Stranges and Chapperlens are emphatically linked with Catholic activity at Cirencester region later in the sixteenth and early seven-

teenth centuries. We know from another source that the parish church was still, for practical purposes, so until 1570, as we shall see. A century before, managed by the same people as the committee of merchants and local gentlemen to administer and manage the affairs of the town for several centuries under succeeding abbots. Robert Strange, a little oligarchy of local men who managed the town. Blake retired to Fairford.¹⁹

Strange carved a gentleman's pattern out of the dissolved abbey he had once served.²⁰ The family with long kinship connections to the manor and its records, they married daughters into gentry and knighted their own gentleman. The Stranges were by no means content to take advantage of opportunities and to take the Abbey and take his own branch of the family.

We get a sense of the muscular style of Robert Strange in his prime, from a Star Chamber case of 1573, the dissolution of the abbey.²¹ The Bailiff of the Star Chamber, who believed that boss Strange was crossing the market-place from the church to the Strange Bayley of the town of Ciceter. Strange huffily explained, Porte 'demanded that the Strange entourage felt was not his business. Strange took offence, called Porte knave and continued in his demands, he (Strange) would do him 'bodily harm and... kill him on the nose (gave him a blessed). Henceforth wayte for Richard Porte as he was coming that 'the said Richard Porte for feare of the highwaie and bide by a back lane to the

¹³ Fuller, 'Guild Merchant', 50-1.

¹⁴ 14 missing? Allow one line?

¹⁵ 'Two great merchant families, Strange of Siston and Strange of Cirencester, had a common ancestor who was bailiff of Cirencester early in the reign of Henry VI and was an armigerous gentleman. He was the great-grandfather of Robert': GA D4871, 'Strange Family'.

¹⁶ D.H. Sacks, *The Widening Gate: Bristol and the Atlantic Economy 1450-1700* (University of California Press 1991), 30-1.

¹⁷ John Bossy, 'The Character of Elizabethan Catholicism', in T.H. Aston (ed.), *Crisis in Europe 1560-1660* (London 1965), 223.

¹⁸ GA D4871; GA D2957/(P98) 205A; the parish registers record that Chapperlen was buried on 2 April 1574; in his will, dated that year, Chapperlen describes himself as 'gentleman'.

¹⁹ Robert Strange reported to Privy Council that several persons had been kept in Cirencester and the town of Gloucestershire in 1573: GA D4871, 'Strange Family'.

²⁰ 'Thomas Straunge for lands in Myntie. BA 1573: GA D4871, 'Strange Family'.

²¹ 'Anthony Straunge of London, Gentleman. BA 1573: GA D4871, 'Strange Family'. Strange was mayor of Bristol in 1474, 1482 and 1489. It is likely that Robert was the son of a Cirencester merchant of the early part of the fifteenth century: GA EN 1573, 50-1.

²² For an account of the new post-dissolution of the abbey, see below, Chapter 12.

²³ TNA, Stac 2/19/38, 36 Henry VIII.

at the confusing religious changes that was going through at the time. The represented a reassuring continuity, at

sources showing that he was a key of the town up to the 1580s. He was Strange who was pardoned in 1414 merchant movement, and later served as Strange served as mayor of Bristol in of Connections between Bristol and Bristol merchants maintained agents with London, an even more important century than it had been earlier.¹⁵ men of affairs' who became leaders, we saw earlier that a member of the 'poor men of Cirencester' in 1342. of another family with a branch were manufactured and marketing

until the fifteen-sixties. Robert Bossy, criticizing the use of the phrase a predominantly social sentiment where there was a social institution. Dissolution of Cirencester Abbey did it had engrossed. Throughout on behalf of absentee lords by on the bailiff and his relations of Baunton. Strange associates all of the old ways. In 1551 Strange to another wealthy inhabitant, are emphatically linked with in the sixteenth and early seven-

and Strange of Cirencester, had a in the reign of Henry VI and was of Robert': GA D4871, 'Strange

the Atlantic Economy 1450-1700

'mercantilism', in T.H. Aston (ed.), *Crisis in*

registers record that Chapperlen was Chapperlen describes himself as

teenth centuries. We know from another source that in 1551 Cirencester parish church was still, for practical purposes, catholic. It probably remained so until 1570, as we shall see. A declining range of services continued as before, managed by the same people as before, but now for absentee lords. A committee of merchants and local gentlemen continued to run the administration and manage the affairs of the town, as they had most probably done for centuries under succeeding abbots. Robert Strange was the leader of the tight little oligarchy of local men who moved into the breach when Abbot John Blake retired to Fairford.¹⁹

Strange carved a gentleman's patrimony out of the fabric and estate of the dissolved abbey he had once served.²⁰ The Stranges were an old Cirencester family with long kinship connections in London and Bristol.²¹ He used his knowledge of the manor and its records to make money, convert it into land, marry daughters into gentry and knightly families and acquire the status of a gentleman. The Stranges were by no means 'new rich', but Robert was able to take advantage of opportunities arising from the dissolution of St Mary's Abbey and take his own branch of the family into the gentry.²²

We get a sense of the muscular style of Strange's government, when he was in his prime, from a Star Chamber case relating to years immediately following the dissolution of the abbey.²³ The bill was presented by one Robert Porte, Clerk, who believed that boss Strange owed him money. One day Porte was crossing the market-place from the church when he 'came unto one Robert Strainge Bayley of the town of Ciceter'. 'Then and there, openly in the street', Strange huffily explained, Porte 'demanded certayne money', a public act that the Strange entourage felt was not befitting dealings between 'gentell men'. Strange took offence, called Porte *knave priest* and threatened that if the priest continued in his demands, he (Strange) and his companion, Robert Ingram, would do him 'bodily harm and... kill him'. Ingram allegedly punched Porte on the nose (gave him a *blessed*). Henceforth Strange's gang took to 'lay[ing] in wayte for Richard Porte as he was coming to his house'. Witnesses confirmed that 'the said Richard Porte for feare of bodily hurt was fayne to leave the highwaie and bide by a back lane to his lodging'. Porte was a man of regular

¹⁹ Robert Strange reported to Privy Council that watch for vagabonds and disorderly persons had been kept in Cirencester and the Seven Hundreds; he was high sheriff for Gloucestershire in 1573: GA D4871, 'Strange Family'.

²⁰ 'Thomas Straunge for lands in Myntie. Release of tenement with lands called Scarletts in Myntie, with Thomas Straunge of Chesterton, Gent.' GA D205A (1.2).

²¹ 'Anthony Straunge of London, Gent' is mentioned in the above deed, *ibid*; Robert Strange was mayor of Bristol in 1474, 1482 and 1489; 'there seems no reason to doubt that Robert was the son of a Cirencester man as Thomas Strange was living there in the early part of the fifteenth century': GA EN, R. Strange 1970; Fuller, 'Guild Merchant', 50-1.

²² For an account of the new post-dissolution gentry and nobility of the region, see below, Chapter 12.

²³ TNA, Stac 2/19/38, 36 Henry VIII.

habits. One day on his way home (perhaps from the church) he spied Strange and another associate waiting for him in the market-place. Porte fled up an alley and ducked into a tavern run by Thomas Taylor. Also present was John Daubney 'of Ciceter ... yeoman', who testified 'that he was drinking at the Inne of John Taylors when John Colne came in and said to the plaintiff [Porte] these words, "I wolde advis[e] you take the afterway homeward and go for youre owne safety."' Porte fled by the back door leading into 'a back lane sometimes called Gosse Ditch' just as Strange and his companion, one Greenwood, burst in through the front door. Strange and Greenwood were called before the justices to answer Porte's allegation that they had threatened and constantly harassed him by standing 'in the high road if ... Porte should passe to his mancon house and if they did for what cause they did so'.

Strange was examined in November, 1544. He admitted owing Porte three pounds, confessed that he had been at Taylor's inn, but denied any harassment or threats. He admitted that 'on the first Monday in Lent last past (when) Porte passed by' he made some disparaging remarks to a companion, but suggested they were only words. He openly admitted 'reviling' Porte, in his view a creature beneath contempt who had brought charges against him 'only to put [him] to costys, charges and expenses'. It is possible but unproven that Porte was persecuted by Strange because, after his appointment as curate, he had displayed protestant leanings.

Strange was a well connected 'survivalist'. His brother, John Strange, had been a canon at the abbey; at the dissolution he was granted the old abbey rectory of Shipton Moyne, which he served until his death in 1566. There is 'good evidence that he preferred the old religion, but he did not allow the successive changes in the religious establishment to interrupt his own career'.²⁴ John Hooper suspected him to be an enemy of reform; during Hooper's brief, evangelical episcopate, some of his neighbours accused John Strange of continuing to live in sin with his housekeeper, rather than marrying her, as he was now entitled, indeed, in Hooper's eyes, obliged, to do.²⁵ Robert Strange the bailiff conformed successively to the orthodoxies of the abbots, Henry VIII, Edward VI, Mary and Elizabeth I, continuing to occupy 'the 14th seate, South the North Side' of the parish church, which 'antiently belonged to the family of Strainges', until his death in 1588.²⁶ His religious sympathies are not definitely known, but his past inevitably left him tangled in old loyalties, and in 1570 he was directly accused of being a 'papist'.

The 'papists' formed a tight-knit little group. Christopher George, the lord of the manor of Baunton, married Robert Strange's eldest daughter, Anna. His

brother, John George, was married by his first marriage.²⁷ John George's son, Edward Chapperlen, the son of the manor 'The Antelope', in 1551.²⁸ Christopher George was the parish, and all of them, as manor, the appointment of rector and curate that governed the town for thirty years the habits of the burgher oligarchs of the church. Both of Robert Strange's faith.

Another Chapperlen, John, died in the 1570s, 'read Catholic books'. Thomas Strange, lately returned from Elizabeth, he was released on the account at Cirencester. The historian of the notes that when Chapperlen returned Strange again planned a joint escape from Rome, and he desired to fight he was probably a son of Edward Chapperlen. Robert Strange leased 'The Antelope' great-grandson, his mother being Elizabeth between John George and Robert Strange.

Except for the fact that he was unable to trace Thomas Strange's family, it may have been wealthy. When he became a gift of £2,000. The most likely explanation is Strange of Chesterton (the bailiff's first appears in a recusancy list dated John Sandys and Stephen Rowshan house of Bridget Strange at Cirencester contemporary Catholic source described catholic.... She hath been much and tion, and yet liveth a most resolute her husband dare not keep her.²⁹

²⁷ GA, 'Pedigree of Strange', D2930/3.

²⁸ GA D4871.

²⁹ Patrick McGrath, 'Gloucestershire and Elizabeth', *Trans BGAS* 88 (1969-70), 21.

³⁰ GRO D4871, 'Strange Family'.

³¹ McGrath, 'Gloucestershire and the The Seminary Priests: Elizabeth 1558-1600'.

³² It is difficult to know what to make of Ciceter' gave 5 pounds and 'Mrs Strange Feoffees for Improrations, money used to

²⁴ 'Strange Family Pedigree', GA D2930/3 (61); cf. also GDR PCC 37, Will of Richard Fowler of Cirencester, in which 2 calves were left 'to my cousin Parson Straunge'; GA D4871.

²⁵ GA, GDR 6.15, 30-1: John Straunge v John Crippes Defamation; Office v John Straunge incontinency.

²⁶ GA P86, CW4.1, 'Cirencester Seate Booke'.

... from the church) he spied Strange
... in the market-place. Porte fled up
... Thomas Taylor. Also present was
... testified 'that he was drinking at
... came in and said to the plaintiff
... take the afterway homeward and go
... back door leading into 'a back lane
... Strange and his companion, one Grene-
... Strange and Greenwood were called
... reason that they had threatened and
... the high road if ... Porte should passe
... what cause they did so'.

... 1544. He admitted owing Porte three
... inn, but denied any harassment
... Monday in Lent last past (when)
... remarks to a companion, but
... admitted 'reviling' Porte, in his
... brought charges against him 'only
...'. It is possible but unproven that
... after his appointment as curate, he

... His brother, John Strange, had
... when he was granted the old abbey
... until his death in 1566. There
... religion, but he did not allow the
... to interrupt his own career'.²⁴
... of reform; during Hooper's brief,
... accused John Strange of contin-
... rather than marrying her, as he was
... edged, to do.²⁵ Robert Strange the
... dexies of the abbots, Henry VIII,
... to occupy 'the 14th seate, South
... tentiently belonged to the family
... His religious sympathies are not defi-
... him tangled in old loyalties, and in

... Christopher George, the lord
... Strange's eldest daughter, Anna. His

... also GDR PCC 37, Will of Richard
... to my cousin Parson Straunge'; GA

... Crippes Defamation; Office v John

brother, John George, was married to Elizabeth, Strange's youngest daughter by his first marriage.²⁷ John George's daughter, Elizabeth, was married to Edward Chapperlen, the son of the man to whom Robert Strange had leased 'The Antelope', in 1551.²⁸ Christopher George had a share of the tithes of the parish, and all of them, as manorial officials, would have a strong say in the appointment of rector and curates. In this sense, the interconnected cabal that governed the town for thirty years after the dissolution simply continued the habits of the burgher oligarchs who had built and maintained the parish church. Both of Robert Strange's brothers seem to have remained in the old faith.

Another Chapperlen, John, studied rhetoric at Cheltenham and Eton in the 1570s, 'read Catholic books and was finally converted by his cousin Thomas Strange, lately returned from Rome', in about 1590. Imprisoned under Elizabeth, he was released on the accession of James and returned to his family at Cirencester. The historian of the Counter-Reformation in Gloucestershire notes that when Chapperlen returned to Cirencester, 'his kinsman Thomas Strange again planned a joint escape.... His cousin and the Jesuits had sent him from Rome, and he desired to fight heresy and be an ecclesiastic'.²⁹ Chapperlen was probably a son of Edward Chapperleyn, and grandson of the man to whom Robert Strange leased 'The Antelope' in 1551. If so, he was Robert Strange's great-grandson, his mother being Elizabeth George, the daughter of a marriage between John George and Robert Strange's daughter Margaret.³⁰

Except for the fact that he was from Cirencester, it has not been possible to trace Thomas Strange's family connections with certainty. His family must have been wealthy. When he became a Jesuit he made the Society of Jesus a gift of £2,000. The most likely explanation is that he was a son of Thomas Strange of Chesterton (the bailiff's cousin, thirty years his junior), whose wife first appears in a recusancy list dated 1577 as 'Mistress Strange of Chesterton'. John Sandys and Stephen Rowsham, two Catholics, were captured at the house of Bridget Strange at Cirencester and executed in 1586 or 1587.³¹ A contemporary Catholic source described her as 'a most ancient and perfect catholic.... She hath been much and long chased into many shires for persecution, and yet liveth a most resolute Catholic where she can thrust her head, for her husband dare not keep her'.³²

²⁷ GA, 'Pedigree of Strange', D2930/3.

²⁸ GA D4871.

²⁹ Patrick McGrath, 'Gloucestershire and the Counter-Reformation in the Reign of Elizabeth', *Trans BGAS* 88 (1969-70), 21-2.

³⁰ GRO D4871, 'Strange Family'.

³¹ McGrath, 'Gloucestershire and the Counter-Reformation', qf. Godfrey Anstruther, *The Seminary Priests: Elizabeth 1558-1603*, 296.

³² It is difficult to know what to make of the information that in 1625 'Mrs George' of Ciceter' gave 5 pounds and 'Mrs Straunge gave ten pounds' to the evangelical Calvinist Feoffees for Impropriations, money used to purchase the presentation at Cirencester. Either

COMMUNE, COUNTRY AND COMMONWEALTH

When he died in 1594, her husband, Thomas, left his sheep to his servants, and to his wife the now relatively meagre sum, after the inflation of the previous fifty years, of £40 per annum 'on condition that she gave up her obstinate refusal to attend church', which, on her own admission, she had refused to do for thirty years.³³ On this interpretation, Thomas Strange the Jesuit simply followed his mother's example – but not that of his father or grandfather, the old bailiff, who may well have been privately Catholic, but outwardly conformed to whatever regime ruled in church and state. Thomas was arrested after the Gunpowder Plot and held in prison until 1617.³⁴ However, by this time committed Romanists were a persecuted minority. Our concern for the moment is with the decades in which the transformation from catholic to protestant took place.

There is, then, enough circumstantial evidence to suggest that an aura of old religion clung to the little oligarchy of interconnected families who continued the everyday management of the town after the abbey went. In religion and social management they practised 'survivalism' through the reigns of Henry, Edward, Mary and continued to govern the key institutions of the market and the parish church into the first decade of the Elizabethan settlement. The key change brought about by the dissolution of Cirencester Abbey was that the lordship, with all the practical liberties it had engrossed over the centuries, was in the hands of new lords who, unlike the abbots, did not have the management of local affairs at their fingertips. Men like Robert Strange knew all the rules, and knew exactly where to lay their hands on some old document that established the legitimacy of this or that practice. He and his fellow oligarchs also knew which local estates once belonging to the abbey were worth purchasing; they had the money and credit to buy them. The abbey was gone, but the 'liberties' it had appropriated, and the system of government it had imposed on the town, had not been diffused very far.

the salesman failed to tell them what the money was for, or the matriarch survivalists changed their views after their husbands were dead. Isabel McBeath Calder, *Activities of the Puritan Faction of the Church of England 1625–33* (London 1957), 38.

³³ McGrath, 'Counter-Reformation'.

³⁴ *Ibid*; GA D4871, 'Strange Family'.

'The tyranny of
called papists': the
under challenge

there is more tyrannie nowe in the
Robert Strange

Robert Strange's position as bailiff, established by marriages of his daughters, was the result of We can assume on the basis of the evidence. Cirencester was too small to be a continuous if amorphous existence. He was communing with whom, and when Edward VI not died in 1553 and he was burned is possible that Strange's control of the town would have been challenged earlier. On his first visit to Cirencester in the person of Edward VI the death of Edward VI and the accession of a heretic. He was burned at the stake in 1555.²

In 1551–2, Hooper turned Gloucester into two extraordinarily busy years, he turned into the state of the clergy, church and one of the 350 or so parishes in his diocese. A detailed illumination of a region of the country of momentous, enduring change. John

¹ FD. Price (ed.), *The Commission for Enquiry into the State of the Church of England and Gloucester*, BGAS Records Section, Cirencester.

² Hooper was influenced by Zwingli's reforms of Henry VIII, and his continuing correspondence with Norman Birnbaum, 'The Zwinglian Reformation in England', *Journal of Church History* (1959), 36–9, indicates the importance of the 'Morals Court' and observes that Zwingli's reforms were 'simple journeymen against petty and middle bourgeois rising up against'

Thomas, left his sheep to his serv-
meagre sum, after the inflation of the
condition that she gave up her obsti-
in her own admission, she had refused
interpretation, Thomas Strange the Jesuit
- but not that of his father or grandfa-
been privately Catholic, but outwardly
church and state. Thomas was arrested
prison until 1617.³⁴ However, by this
persecuted minority. Our concern for the
the transformation from catholic to

vidence to suggest that an aura
archy of interconnected families who
of the town after the abbey went. In
rained 'survivalism' through the reigns
to govern the key institutions of the
first decade of the Elizabethan settle-
the dissolution of Cirencester Abbey
liberties it had engrossed over the
who, unlike the abbots, did not have
fingertips. Men like Robert Strange
where to lay their hands on some old
of this or that practice. He and his
estates once belonging to the abbey
and credit to buy them. The abbey
granted, and the system of government
an infused very far.

*'The tyranny of infected members
called papists': the Strange regime
under challenge, c.1551-80*

there is more tyranie nowe in these daies used than ever there was.

Robert Whiring, butcher of Cirencester, 1574¹

Robert Strange's position as bailiff, and the network of connections set up by marriages of his daughters, was the strategic core of his political machine. We can assume on the basis of the past that opposition factions had a continuous if amorphous existence even when they generated no direct evidence. Cirencester was too small a place for everyone not to know who was communing with whom, and what the issues were. Had the evangelical Edward VI not died in 1553 and been replaced by the Catholic Mary, it is possible that Strange's control of the manor and, especially, the parish, would have been challenged earlier. Evangelical protestantism had paid its first visit to Cirencester in the person of Bishop John Hooper, in 1551, but the death of Edward VI and the accession of the Catholic Mary made him a heretic. He was burned at the stake under the walls of Gloucester Cathedral in 1555.²

In 1551-2, Hooper turned Gloucestershire inside-out and upside-down. In two extraordinarily busy years, he visited and made systematic enquiries into the state of the clergy, churchwardens and congregations of every one of the 350 or so parishes in his diocese. His records provide vivid and detailed illumination of a region of the commonwealth of England at a time of momentous, enduring change. John Hooper's visitation and court records

¹ F.D. Price (ed.), *The Commission for Ecclesiastical Causes Within the Dioceses of Bristol and Gloucester*, BGAS Records Section (Gateshead 1973), 78.

² Hooper was influenced by Zwingli through his residence at Zurich in the latter years of Henry VIII, and his continuing correspondence with Bullinger, Zwingli's successor; Norman Birnbaum, 'The Zwinglian Reformation in Zurich', *Past and Present* 15 (April 1959), 36-9, indicates the importance at Zurich of 'the Marital Court ... in effect, a Morals Court' and observes that Zwingli's reforms ranged 'merchants, prosperous artisan masters' and 'simple journeymen against patricians'; his main allies at Zurich were the 'petty and middle bourgeois rising up into entrepreneurial roles'.

ney was for, or the matriarch survivalists
the 1930s. Isabel McBeath Calder, *Activities of*
1935-55 (London 1957), 38.

bear detailed witness to one of the most remarkable social experiments of the English Reformation. They make it possible to follow a great reformer's plans and movements on a day-to-day basis. They matter in this context because they not only framed and conditioned what came next across the whole of Cirencester's greater region to the west, they also refer directly to (and affected) every one of Gloucestershire's communities, not least the town that is the subject of this book.

As soon as he arrived at Gloucester in May 1551, an introductory 'Letter to the Clergy', composed in advance, was copied and sent out to every deanery of Gloucester diocese, for transmission to the parishes. Two kinds of ministers had nothing to fear from reformation, he wrote: 'the one, if they be of sound doctrine apt to teach and to exhort after knowledge, and able to withstand and confute the evill sayers'. The other 'if their life and maners be unculpable', meaning 'sober, modest, keeping hospitality, honest, religious, chaste, not dissolute, angry, nor given to much wine, no fighter, no covetous man, such as governeth well his own house, and giveth an example of vertue and honesty unto other'. The 'dignity and Maiesty of the Order of Priests and Pastors [had] fallen in decay', in Hooper's opinion. The days of priests who 'nourish and keepe a whore or Concubine at home in thy house, or els doth defile other mens beds', hunt with hawk and hounds, get drunk and be a 'haunter of Alehouses and Taverns, of Whores, Cards, Dice, and such like', were at an end. Hooper demanded a return to the exemplary 'Old Priests and Pastors of Christ's Church, [who] did by their truth and gravity subjugate, and bring under the hard necked, and stiff stubborne Ethnickes and cause them to have the same in fear.' Most if not all Gloucestershire districts had at least one notorious priest.

Let every one of you therefore take good heede to approve your selves faithfull and wise Ministers of Christ, so that when I shall come to visit the Parishioners committed to my Cure and faith from God, and the Kings Maiesty ye be able not only to make answer unto me in that behalfe, but also unto our Lord Jesus Christ ... a very streight revenger of his Church. Thus fare you well unto the day of my coming unto you.³

The letters were accompanied by three detailed 'interrogatories': one each for ministers, churchwardens and parishioners. By following up the letters with

³ GCL, Hockaday (General), Vol. 33 (1551). Hockaday transcribed it from a manuscript in the Roger Morrice collection at the Williams Library, Gordon Square, London, headed 'A true copping of Bishop Hooper's Visitation Booke Made By him in AD 1551,1552'. Hockaday thinks it was originally part of GDR no. 5, a hundred pages of visitation records that were originally part of a bound volume, dismembered, thought Hockaday, 'before 1721', probably by Archdeacon Furney. The following account of Hooper's activities is based on my reconstruction of his day-to-day itinerary from Hockaday 33 (GCL) and the records of the ensuing consistory court meetings in the Gloucester Diocesan Registers.

a systematic visitation of all parts of the diocese – *anyone* – gentry, ministers, notables. Hooper failed to live out Hooper's detailed reformation practice would be called to answer to the court at Gloucester Cathedral. If they refused, Hooper ordered them to perform public penitence in their parish church, local markets and central squares of Cirencester. No attempt to fill the gaps between monasteries and chantries, and the consistory court near to matching the systematic visitation.

Unlike the bishops who served the diocese, Hooper was no respecter of persons. If he was transgressed, he was summoned to answer to the bishop. If Hooper was not satisfied, he would perform public repentance just like a common sweaver of oaths and breaker of public peace. This did not endear him to the gentry. In the year following 1 June 1551, he presided over the deanery and most other significant consistory court. In 1551–2 he presided over 511 consistory court women of all ranks. A third of the consistory court Processions of penitents became common in the markets of Gloucestershire.

Hooper visited Cirencester on Wednesday 15 June. Awaiting him were the minister, Thomas Gurney⁴ and John Penyngton, and several other ministers: Fowler, Thomas Webbe,⁶ John Saunderson, John Keele. Also present were ministers from the district. The crowd of curious churchwardens: 3 from Bagendon; 3 from North Cerney; 3 from Duntisbourne Abbots; 4 from Farmington; 2 from Hampnett; 2 from Cotes; 2 from Siddington St Peter; 2 from Cerney; 2 Driffild; 2 Harnhill; 2 from 1 Coln Rogers; 3 Compton Abdale; 2 from 2 Ampney Crucis; 2 Ampney Peter; 2 from CW); 3 Hatherop; 2 Coln St Aldwyns.

⁴ If this is the same John Gurney presented to the consistory court (GDR 20.57 1563 Visitation), the churchwarden of Cirencester.

⁵ *Military Survey 1522*, Abbot Street, 40.

⁶ Hockaday 155, 1545: 'Sergeant of the consistory court'.

⁷ GDR 2a.45: Original Bond to John Hooper, Strange Clerk & John Walker of Cirencester (Shipton Moyne).

of remarkable social experiments of
possible to follow a great reformer's
pass. They matter in this context
what came next across the
the west, they also refer directly
Gloucestershire's communities, not least the

In May 1551, an introductory 'Letter
was copied and sent out to every
parish in the diocese. Two kinds
of visitation, he wrote: 'the one, if they
be ignorant after knowledge, and able to
learn. The other 'if their life and maners
be such, as they are not keeping hospitality, honest, religious,
and without wine, no fighter, no covetous
and giveth an example of vertue
and Maiesty of the Order of Priests
in his opinion. The days of priests
shall be at home in thy house, or els
with hounds, get drunk and be
with whetes, Cards, Dice, and such like',
and the exemplary 'Old Priests and
and the truth and gravity subjugate, and
and the Ethnickes and cause them to
Gloucestershire districts had at least

to approve your selves faithfull
I shall come to visit the Parishioners
and the Kings Maiesty ye be able not
but also unto our Lord Jesus Christ
This care you well unto the day of my

of detailed 'interrogatories': one each
By following up the letters with

Hockaday transcribed it from a
the Williams Library, Gordon Square,
the Visitation Booke Made By him in
part of GDR no. 5, a hundred pages
and volume, dismembered, thought
by John Furney. The following account
of his day-to-day itinerary from
the consistory court meetings in the

a systematic visitation of all parts of his diocese, Hooper soon established that
anyone – gentry, ministers, notables, 'better sort' and 'common people' – who
failed to live out Hooper's detailed reconstruction of religious thought and
practice would be called to answer to the bishop himself at the consistory
court at Gloucester Cathedral. If they proved at all ignorant or recalcitrant,
Hooper ordered them to perform humiliating public penance in their own
parish church, local markets and central towns like Gloucester, Tewkesbury
and Cirencester. No attempt to fill the gaping hole left by the dissolutions of
monasteries and chantries, and the confusing changes of state policy, came
near to matching the systematic overhaul Hooper set in motion.

Unlike the bishops who served the diocese for the next century, Hooper
was no respecter of persons. If he found evidence that a gentleman had
transgressed, he was summoned to answer the allegation in person, before
the bishop. If Hooper was not satisfied, the gentleman was required to
perform public repentance just like every common or garden adulterer,
swearer of oaths and breaker of public morality and religious peace. This
did not endear him to the gentry. No place escaped Hooper's attention. In
the year following 1 June 1551, he personally visited every market town and
deanery and most other significant centres of population or misdemeanors.
In 1551-2 he presided over 511 consistory court cases involving men and
women of all ranks. A third of the cases resulted in public penance orders.
Processions of penitents became commonplace in the parish churches and
markets of Gloucestershire.

Hooper visited Cirencester on Wednesday 10 and Thursday 11 June 1551.
Awaiting him were the minister, William Phelpes, churchwardens John
Gurney⁴ and John Penyngton, and seven parishioners, William Stone,⁵ John
Fowler, Thomas Webbe,⁶ John Saunders, John Walker,⁷ John Rogers and
John Keele. Also present were ministers, officials and parishioners from the
district. The crowd of curious churchwardens and parishioners included 2 from
Bagendon; 3 from North Cerney; 3 from Stratton; 3 from Daglingworth; 2
from Duntisbourne Abbots; 4 from Duntisbourne Militis; 4 from Northleach; 2
from Farmington; 2 from Hampnett; 2 from Chedworth; 2 from Rendcombe; 2
from Cotes; 2 from Siddington St Peter; 2 from Siddington Mary; 6 from South
Cerney; 2 Driffield; 2 Harnhill; 2 Preston; 3 Ampney Mary; 1 Coln Denis;
1 Coln Rogers; 3 Compton Abdale; 2 Bibury; 4 Fairford; 6 Maiseyhampton;
2 Ampney Crucis; 2 Ampney Peter; 2 Down Ampney; 2 Quennington (no
CW); 3 Hatherop; 2 Coln St Aldwyns; 2 Shurborne; 2 Kempford; 4 Lechlade;

⁴ If this is the same John Gurney presented in 1563 for 'getting his servant with childe'
(GDR 20.57 1563 Visitation), the churchwarden had experienced a fall from grace.

⁵ *Military Survey 1522*, Abbot Street, 40s.

⁶ Hockaday 155, 1545: 'Sergeant of the town'.

⁷ GDR 2a.45: Original Bond to John Hooper Bp of Worc in the sum of £100 by John
Strange Clerk & John Walker of Cirncester clothier on his institution to the Rectory of
Shipton Moynes).

2 Burthroppe; 2 Southroppe; 2 Eastleach; Painswick 8 yeonomi; Bisley 9 cw; Salperton 4 cw; Miserden 7 cw; Edgeworth 4 cw; Winston 4 cw; Cowley 4 cw; Elkston 6 cw; Brimpsfield 4 cw; Eastington 10 cw; Coberley 3. Robert Strange was not listed, but his sergeant, Thomas Webbe, was there, and Strange took a personal interest in at least one of the cases arising from Hooper's visitation. It concerned his brother John, lately canon of Cirencester Abbey and now rector of Shipton Moigne. On 27 June 1551, 'John Cryppes a witness sworn and examyned saith [to Hooper] that he hath harde saye that Mr Straunge shuld lyve viciouslye withe oon Katherine Daw by the saying on Henrie Saverie and Thomas Sway and John Davisse.' A month later John Strange called upon four compurgators to exonerate him, but by then the damage was done.⁸ No smoke without fire.

Hooper dined at Cirencester the day before his burning at Gloucester in 1555, and it is said that one, at least, of the townspeople was converted by his saintly demeanour.⁹ Strange probably observed his arrival and departure from a discreet distance. Strange would remain a dominant force in town affairs until his death in 1588; this chapter will show that cracks began to appear in the 1560s. The Middle Ages would finally end at Cirencester with the deaths of Strange and his 'co-regent', Christopher George, son of Strange's predecessor and lord of the nearby manor of Baunton (d.1597). This chapter tells a tale of the slow death of the old and the emerging contradictions of the new.

By accident or design, Elizabeth I's restoration of a limited Protestant ascendancy meant that 'The machinery of the church', as Christopher Hill once put it, was 'now entirely at the disposal of the crown.' It 'offered itself as an instrument of government independent of Parliamentary control, and with a long tradition of prestige and authority behind it.' And yet, as Hill pointed out, 'if the church was to be of any use to the crown as an instrument of government its prestige must be restored and maintained'.¹⁰ The fly in the ointment was that the diocese of Gloucester never recovered from Mary's removal and execution of Hooper, undoubtedly one of the most visionary and energetic of English reforming bishops. Hooper's successor, the catholic James Brooks, had the courage of his convictions: he later refused to conform to the Elizabethan settlement, and died in prison. His

successor, Richard Cheyney, left diocesan affairs chaotic, incompetent or corrupt chances to feed grass-roots anticlericalism, and with interest. The corruption and waywardness (before the civil wars) waywardness of the diocese has been noted by historians: there is no reason to think that one ingredient feeding a third, popular emergence, naming and gradual reform from the local bottom up. Diocesan reformers were persuading the 'poor townsmen' of Gloucester in the past, that they alone could make a difference.

Hooper understood that effective reform required respect for the clergy, which meant respect for the poor. Roger Grene, rector of Stratton, who was accused of living an evil life, was admonished to leave a certain woman from his company. Grene went. In September 1574, parishioners were told to overcome with drinke and the time of their contemporaries like John Baron of Stratton. North Cerney were still telling their story. 'had said mass and did trust to say mass without word of God but doctrine of the church. If a minister lived a decent life, did not quarrel with his neighbours in peace. He was not the dozens of Gloucestershire ministers but they came to court in the first place. Hooper did not invent the neighbour.

¹¹ For the decay of Gloucester diocese see Price, 'The Diocese of Gloucester 1547-1579', B. Letters, Kettlewell. Gloucester Consistory Court are telling. In 1547, 100 cases; in only 54 cases did the accused fail to appear and exclusions (GDR 6.1-131); from 1550 to 1579, 328 cases, 177 failures to appear and 84 suspensions. On 31/10/70 (Powell) 723 cases, 437 failures to appear and 100 suspensions. From 27/3/77 to 11/7/79 (Powell) 285 cases, 157 failures to appear (GDR 37.148-77). Price found that penalties were reduced to 1 in 40 under Powell (53). See also Price, 'The Diocese of Gloucester 1547-1579', B. Letters, Kettlewell. *Trans BGAS* 60 (1938), 51-151; Price, 'The Diocese of Gloucester 1547-1579', B. Letters, Kettlewell. The Commission for Ecclesiastical Causes was set up to 'express purpose of restoring order in an area broken down' (137).

¹² GA, GDR, 6.14, 6.25.

¹³ Price, 'The Commission for Ecclesiastical Causes'.

⁸ *Office v John Straunge incontinency, countered by John Straunge v John Crippes Defamation*, 27 June 1551, GDR 6.15, 30-1.

⁹ Richard Jefferies, 'History of Cirencester', *Wilts and Gloucs Standard* (29 October 1870, citing 'Mr Froude's... history of this period' wrote that 'In the course of his journey [from the Fleet Prison in London to his execution at Gloucester, Hooper] stopped at one of the Inns... to dine', where he encountered 'a woman... who had always hated the truth and spoken all evil she could of him.' Upon meeting him 'she found that both in him and his creed there was more than she supposed... perceiving the cause of his coming she lamented his case with tears and showed him all the friendship she could'.

¹⁰ Christopher Hill, 'From Grindal to Laud', in Hill, *Religion and Politics in 17th Century England* (Brighton 1986), 64.

at Painswick 8 yeonomi; Bisley 9 cw; [unclear] 4 cw; Winston 4 cw; Cowley 4 cw; [unclear] 10 cw; Coberley 3. Robert Strange [unclear] [unclear], was there, and Strange took a [unclear] arising from Hooper's visitation. It [unclear] of Cirencester Abbey and now rector [unclear] John Crippes a witness sworn and [unclear] [unclear] harde saye that Mr Straunge shuld [unclear] the saying on Henrie Saverie and [unclear] later John Strange called upon four [unclear] the damage was done.⁸ No smoke

before his burning at Gloucester in [unclear] the townspeople was converted by his [unclear] [unclear] his arrival and departure from a [unclear] dominant force in town affairs until [unclear] that cracks began to appear in the [unclear] at Cirencester with the deaths of [unclear] George, son of Strange's predecessor [unclear] (c.1597). This chapter tells a tale of [unclear] contradictions of the new.

restoration of a limited Protestant [unclear] of the church', as Christopher Hill [unclear] of the crown.' It 'offered itself [unclear] independent of Parliamentary control, [unclear] authority behind it.' And yet, as [unclear] be of any use to the crown as an [unclear] must be restored and maintained'.¹⁰

Diocese of Gloucester never recov- [unclear] of Hooper, undoubtedly one of [unclear] English reforming bishops. Hooper's [unclear] the courage of his convictions: he [unclear] settlement, and died in prison. His

by John Straunge v John Crippes

Gloucs and Gloucs Standard (29 October [unclear] wrote that 'In the course of his journey [unclear] at Gloucester, Hooper] stopped at [unclear] a woman... who had always hated [unclear] upon meeting him 'she found that both [unclear] supposed... perceiving the cause of his [unclear] had him all the friendship she could'. [unclear] *Religion and Politics in 17th Century*

successor, Richard Cheyney, left diocesan government to a succession of avaricious, incompetent or corrupt chancellors, whose historical achievement was to feed grass-roots anticlericalism, and confirm criticism of prelatical religion with interest. The corruption and (under Bishop Goodman in the decades before the civil wars) waywardness of Gloucester diocese is notorious among historians: there is no reason to think it was not notorious at the time. It was one ingredient feeding a third, popular, wave of reformation. This involved the emergence, naming and gradual routinization of sects and strategies for reform from the local bottom up. Diocesan neglect and corruption became a factor in persuading the 'poor townsmen' of Cirencester, as they had been persuaded in the past, that they alone could make their town a Christian commonwealth.¹¹

Hooper understood that effective church government depended on respect for the clergy, which meant rooting out notorious bad examples, like Roger Grene, rector of Stratton, who, in 1551, was accused by his parishioners of living an evil life, was admonished by Hooper and ordered to remove a certain woman from his company.¹² Grene kept his head down until Hooper went. In September 1574, parishioners reported that Grene was still 'oftentime overcome with drinke and the parsonage in great decay'.¹³ Catholic contemporaries like John Baron of Siddington St Mary and Thomas Taylor of North Cerney were still telling their stoic parishioners in the 1570s that they 'had said mass and did trust to say mass again and that the gospel is not the word of God but doctrine of the church'. Hooper had been generally satisfied if a minister lived a decent life, did not actively defy Hooper's reforms, and lived with his neighbours in peace. He was much concerned with the doctrine of the dozens of Gloucestershire ministers who appeared in his courts in 1551-2, but they came to court in the first place because of the 'decent life' clause. Hooper did not invent the neighbourly abuse, scandals and rumours of sexual

¹¹ For the decay of Gloucester diocese, the work of F Douglas Price remains both exemplary and indispensable: figures cited in Price, 'The Administration of Gloucester Diocese 1547-1579', B. Letters, Keble College, Oxford 1939, regarding cases in Gloucester Consistory Court are telling. In the period 1/6/51 to 1/6/52 Hooper heard 511 cases; in only 54 cases did the accused fail to appear and there were only 13 suspensions and exclusions (GDR 6.1-131); from 1/6/61 to 31/12/61 (Chancellor Powell) there were 328 cases, 177 failures to appear and 84 susp. and excl. (GDR 18.13-51); from 1/11/69 to 31/10/70 (Powell) 723 cases, 437 failures to appear, 225 susp. and excl. (GDR 26.5-149); from 27/3/77 to 11/7/79 (Powell) 285 cases, 205 failures to appear, 77 susp. and excl. (GDR 37.148-77). Price found that penance orders varied from 1 in 3 cases under Hooper to 1 in 40 under Powell (53). See also Price, 'Gloucester Diocese under Bishop Hooper', *Trans BGAS* 60 (1938), 51-151; Price, 'The Commission for Ecclesiastical Causes for the Dioceses of Bristol and Gloucester, 1574', *Trans BGAS* 59 (1937) shows clearly that the Commission for Ecclesiastical Causes was 'a body set up by the government for the express purpose of restoring order in an area where local ecclesiastical jurisdiction had broken down' (137).

¹² GA, GDR, 6.14, 6.25.

¹³ Price, 'The Commission for Ecclesiastical Causes', 145.

that he found in many parishes and was to raise communal standards. Hooper may well have created, and of communalist discipline that in the compact, urban communities

these became what the reformers had meant to the everyday lives of ordinary men and unjust. Decay at the top had begun. In 1567 parishioners of Cirencester complained that the church was being neglected. The accounts of the manor were not paid the wages of a dozen or more men in the royal treasury twenty years earlier. The manor may have lapsed too. The music of the manor, the hostility or indifference to such a dissolution of their mode of production, the vacuum. The tithes of the high manor, the appropriators, who included Christopher Selwyn, were cutting costs to the manor and the priest to serve that great cure, 'the manor was always accustomed to have two manors'.¹⁴ Another depressing sign was the church belonging to the manor (the manor had sold the tithes) was 'ruinous'. For more than a year no one can be seen in the church. In July 1570, the bishop's chancellor of the rectory and ordered him to be responsible.¹⁵

Selwyn's will, dating from 1557 to his death in 1570 – in his will – 'vintner'. Inherited from his father Selwyn's *métier*. He was a middle-class man, dealing in grain, vegetables, meat, cloth and other goods. From various sources and markets, he did not belong with the 'middling' class. At the time of the sudden anti-Calvinist reaction, his family consisting of his wife Emmot (died 1564) and Elizabeth (6 months). Both died within weeks of their births. Selwyn was rich enough to have domestic servants, and his name appears in the burial registers for 1563.¹⁶ Selwyn

made no fewer than ten appearances in diocesan courts between 1557 and 1570.¹⁷ One of these at least may relate to the 'poore people of Cirencester' (among whom Selwyn would certainly have included himself, at least for the purposes of appeals to the state) who were to complain to Privy Council about the 'papist' oligarchy in 1570. He may have purchased a share in the 'rectory' in order to challenge the cabal: he certainly represented the trade community. He appears again in the 1580s, as leader of an attempt by this 'middle sort' of retailers and craftworkers to revive the Guild Merchant.

Responsibility for enquiring into the activities of Giles Selwyn devolved on Thomas Powell, appointed chancellor by Richard Cheyney, in 1565. Unlike Hooper, Cheyney rarely sat himself as judge in the consistory court, and his appointee, Powell, instituted a regime marked by laxity and corruption in the form of commutations in exchange for money.¹⁸ Unlike Hooper, they left the gentry to their own affairs and were willing to let offenders off the public acts of penitence upon which Hooper had always insisted, in exchange for fines.

We know only that Powell's judgement infuriated Selwyn. 'Openly and in full court (he) showed manifest contempt for the judge and his jurisdiction, saying that he could not get justice but injustice in (that) court.' He shouted 'that he would have lawe by them that knowe the lawe ... and that he would the judge were as true a subject as he the said Selwyne is.' He threatened 'that he would not tarrie till he sawe further authoritie'. Powell 'pronounced him manifestly contumacious, and... he was excommunicated in writing'.¹⁹ It is tempting to cast him as a tribune of the middling sort of townspeople: we meet him again in the next chapter, leading the tradesmen and craftsmen in a revival of the Guild Merchant.²⁰

The hold of the manorial cabal was weakening, perhaps because their forms of government were unable to deal with problems arising from a growing population, the epidemics and high mortality of the 1550s, and always unpredictable economic conditions.²¹ The reference to 'deacons' in 1567 may be an early sign of Calvinist influences that emerged in the 1580s. The sources merely suggest fragmentation of governance into at least four documented factions: catholic, 'proto-Anglican', 'non-separatist Calvinist' and 'separatist-Anabaptist'. The leaking roof of the chancel was symptomatic of decay that affected villages and towns throughout Gloucester diocese. Another sign of the fragmentation of religious community emerges from a series of cases of 1569–74, at first before Chancellor Powell at the Gloucester Consistory Court,

his 'soule into the hands of almighty God my creator, maker, preserver, redeemer and sanctifier and my body to be decently buried in the church yeard'; he signed his will.

¹⁷ GDR Vol. 11, 34, 42, 47, 51 (1557); GDR B4/1/657 (1557); Vol. 16, 36 (1563), 333 (1566); Vol. 24, 508 (1569), 723 (1570); Vol. 9, 50 (1570).

¹⁸ Price, 'Commission for Ecclesiastical Causes'.

¹⁹ Hockaday, 'Cirencester 1570'; GA GDR Vol. 26, 139.

²⁰ See below, Chapter 11.

²¹ See below, Chapter 10.

¹⁴ Bur 25/8/65, bur 1/9/65; d. Elizabeth Selwyn (GDR 1598/33), Selwyn commended

and then before the powerful gentlemen who served as the queen's commissioners for ecclesiastical causes in Gloucestershire.

The cases involved at least eighteen people who (for differing reasons) were loudly and publicly absenting themselves from church. Radical separatism is signified by two tradesmen, James Ireland and Thomas Bradford, who first appeared before the diocesan consistory court on 23 March 1569/70.²² They objected to 'abominations' at the parish church, especially the wearing by the priest of 'the coope and the surplice'. Bradford stayed away because he could not 'be edified by a godly and learned man' there. Christopher Haigh casts Bradford as the leader of a 'conventicle at Cirencester'. Over the next five years the consistory court and commissioners for ecclesiastical causes in Gloucestershire interviewed, interrogated and imprisoned several other members, whose testimony suggests this was not a sect of leaders and followers. They include several more men and, perhaps most auspiciously, at least five remarkably courageous and articulate women.

Haigh mentions Alice (or Agnes) Long, who 'regarded the meeting as an alternative to church, and told the visitation commissioners that "they should not command her to any parish church, and that she would choose whether she will go"'.²³ Like Bradford and Ireland, Alice Long was a member of the 'sect of disorderly persons' reported to Privy Council in 1578 by four commissioners for ecclesiastical causes, Sir Giles Poole, Henry Poole, Richard Baynham and Richard Grene. Their practice was 'to assemble in a desolate place nere unto a wood side, appointing unto themselves a minister and a private order of service, according to their own fantasies'.

Two months later, in May 1570, the opposition factions were presented with a common enemy. A papal bull declaring the Queen of England an excommunicate heretic was nailed to the door of the bishop of London's palace. News reached Cirencester in as long as it took a horseman or carrier to make the journey; it presented an irresistible opportunity to attack the cabal. A party calling itself 'the poor townsmen' came together and petitioned Privy Council for relief from 'the tyranie of infected members called Papists as toller(at)ed have impoisoned a number of good subjects' in the town.²⁴ The 'chiefest' of men behind these 'execrable doings', they claimed, were 'Nicholas Phillipps common serjeant of the said towne... and servant to Sir Henry Jerningham...

²² What follows is from Price (ed.), *Commission for Ecclesiastical Causes for the Dioceses of Bristol and Gloucester, 1574*, 61–185.

²³ Haigh, *The Plain Man's Pathways to Heaven: Kinds of Christianity in Post-Reformation England, 1570–1640* (Oxford 2007), 40, citing GA GDR 40, fo. 164–v; Bradford, Agnes Long and 'John Cox and his wyff' were reported by Bishop Cheyney in 1576: R.H. Clutterbuck, 'State Papers Respecting Bishop Cheyney and the Recusants of the Diocese of Gloucester', *Trans BGAS* 5 (1881), 235.

²⁴ 'Petition of the Inhabitants of Cirencester to the Privy Council', TNA, SPD Dom. Eliz., Vol. LXXI no. 30; transcribed in Hockaday 156: 'Cirencester', 1570. See also Price, 'Commission for Ecclesiastical Causes', 62.

as also Robert Straunge, Justice of the Peace [and] other their adherents a part of the manorial cabal. The 'poor people' of the town were 'late made by one Horton', who preached that the Bible [is] false and full of errors and mete amongst the common people of the town. Horton was not the only defiantly separatist. Roger Grene at Stratton may have been a Baron at Siddington St Mary and Thomas Grene have been preaching a similar message and execrable opinions as before the 'poore townsmen' stood up in church with 'imprisonment of bodie, feare and imprisonment' and called tumultuous rebels.²⁵ The evidence suggests that loyal 'common people' were persecuted by rich, disloyal papists.

Strange's faction had probably been active since 1548; Christopher George had been executed for neglect of the chancel and the tower. In the military metaphor that came to be used to speak of social structures, the 'poor people' of the town 'captains that are most persecuted' by the queen's privy counsellors 'to remove the wicked and traitorous papists ...] from the Christian people'. There was enough evidence for common talk that they were Catholics, a charge for which was passed down the line of the town. The immediate result of the petition was a blow was struck for the commonwealth a parliamentary borough with the franchise. The issue of the franchise: who should be elected and election of candidates? By 1570 the issues of the century were gone. The interregnum cabal was drawing to a close.

F. Douglas Price identified the Bradford 'poore townsmen' who complained about the 'poor people' indicate that several factions of whole town were brought together momentarily by a common enemy. Giles Selwyn, for example, was

²⁵ The quotes are from the transcription in Price, *Commission for Ecclesiastical Causes*, 62.

²⁶ 'The answer of Christopher George Gentleman to the petition of the poor people of the town of Stratton Masters Esq. complt.', PRO Court of Requests, 1570.

²⁷ Beecham, *History of Cirencester*, 171; see also Price, *Commission for Ecclesiastical Causes*, 62.

²⁸ Price, 'Commission for Ecclesiastical Causes', 62.

men who served as the queen's commis-
Gloucestershire.

en people who (for differing reasons) were
elves from church. Radical separatism is
Ireland and Thomas Bradford, who first
court on 23 March 1569/70.²² They
ish church, especially the wearing by the
Bradford stayed away because he could not
there. Christopher Haigh casts Brad-
'Cirencester'. Over the next five years the
ecclesiastical causes in Gloucestershire
ned several other members, whose testi-
fers and followers. They include several
ish; at least five remarkably courageous

Long, who 'regarded the meeting as an
ation commissioners that "they should
and that she would choose whether
Alice Long was a member of the 'sect
Council in 1578 by four commissioners
Henry Poole, Richard Baynham and
assemble in a desolate place nere unto
gives a minister and a private order of

oposition factions were presented with
the Queen of England an excommu-
of the bishop of London's palace. News
a horseman or carrier to make the
portunity to attack the cabal. A party
together and petitioned Privy Council
members called Papists as toller(at)ed
jects' in the town.²⁴ The 'chiefest' of
they claimed, were 'Nicholas Phillipps
and servant to Sir Henry Jerningham...

for Ecclesiastical Causes for the Dioceses

Kinds of Christianity in Post-Reformation
SA GDR 40, fo. 164-v; Bradford, Agnes
by Bishop Cheyney in 1576: R.H.
Cheyney and the Recusants of the Diocese

the Privy Council', TNA, SPD Dom.
156: 'Cirencester', 1570. See also Price,

as also Robert Straunge, Justice of the Pax, Christopher George Clarke of the
Peace [and] other their adherents a great number': the heads and subalterns of
the manorial cabal. The 'poor people' took particular exception to 'a sermon of
late made by one Horton', who preached that 'the sacred word enclosed within
the Bible [is] false and full of errors (as untruly translated), and therefore not
mete amongst the common people to be reade or taught'. We saw earlier that
Horton was not the only defiantly 'survivalist' catholic minister in the district.
Roger Grene at Stratton may have been too intoxicated to notice, but John
Baron at Siddington St Mary and Thomas Taylor of North Cerney may well
have been preaching a similar message. 'Utterly detesting all such erroneous
and execrable opinions as before their eyes is daily practiced,' certain of the
'poore townsmen' stood up in church and complained, only to be threatened
with 'imprisonment of bodie, feare and death, and confiscation of their goods,
and called tumultuous rebels'.²⁵ The papal bull offered an opportunity to
suggest that loyal 'common people' and 'poor townspeople' were being perse-
cuted by rich, disloyal papists.

Strange's faction had probably controlled the vestry as well as the manor
since 1548; Christopher George had a share in the tithes, but was not prose-
cuted for neglect of the chancel and pastoral care in the consistory court.²⁶
In the military metaphor that came so naturally to contemporaries when they
spoke of social structures, the 'poor people' called the three big men who ruled
the town 'captains that are most pernicious in that commonwealth'. They urged
the queen's privy counsellors 'to remove [and] weed out the said persons [...]
wicked and traitorous papists ...] from having any authoritie or to live amongst
Christian people'. There was enough circumstantial evidence and, no doubt,
common talk that they were catholics, to justify an enquiry, the responsibility
for which was passed down the line to Bishop Cheyney, whose discretion could
be relied on. The immediate result of the petition is not clear, but within
months a blow was struck for the commonalty when Cirencester was made
a parliamentary borough with the right to elect two MPs.²⁷ A struggle then
ensued concerning the franchise: who had a right to a voice in the selection
and election of candidates? By 1570 the achievements of the long fifteenth
century were gone. The interregnum of 'survivalist' government by the Strange
cabal was drawing to a close.

F Douglas Price identified the Bradford-Ireland group ('puritans') with 'the
poore townsmen' who complained about the papists in 1570,²⁸ but the sources
indicate that several factions of wholesalers, craftworkers and tradesmen were
brought together momentarily by a common desire to discredit the Strange
regime. Giles Selwyn, for example, was never accused of belonging with intran-

²⁵ The quotes are from the transcription in Hockaday 156.

²⁶ 'The answer of Christopher George Gent def. to the byll of Complainant of Richard
Masters Esq. complt.', PRO Court of Requests 72/61; Hockaday, 'Cirencester 1578'.

²⁷ Beecham, *History of Cirencester*, 171; see below, Chapter 7.

²⁸ Price, 'Commission for Ecclesiastical Causes', 36.

sigent separatists like Bradford, Ireland and others, yet he was from the same class of petty traders and shopkeepers. Bradford was called into the consistory court again in 1572, charged with two other shopkeepers, William Whiting and Thomas Restall, that they 'let open their shoppes upon the ymber dayes as though hit had not ben fyshe dayes'. They were butchers, but with quite different religious views, as would become clear.²⁹ A warning by the bishop to obey the rector and churchwardens by closing their businesses on holy days and Sundays was ignored, and a year later they were charged by Mr Aldsworth, the curate, with refusing to attend church and continuing to open their shops on 'fyshe dayes'. Restall and his associates made their point and went back to church, but Bradford and Whittinge refused to take 'a corporall othe upon the Evangelist' that they would mend their ways, and were imprisoned at Gloucester Castle.

The minister (it is not clear who appointed him, but he may have been installed as a sop to the complainants of 1570) offended at least two groups of parishioners, representing very different 'protestant' factions, united momentarily by common hostility to the Catholicism of the old regime and the contingent pretext of the pope's excommunication of the queen. The survivalists, led for decades by Robert Strange and his chief ally, Christopher George, were now a gentrified and increasingly discredited remnant. They still held the reins of market and police, but could not live forever. Aldsworth may, at first, have shared the martyred Hooper's radicalism with regard to 'visible signs'. Thomas Restall was a leading member of a group from what the next generation would call 'the better sort of inhabitants'. They were orthodox Elizabethans: God- and queen-fearing middle-of-the-road protestants, moderate and willing to compromise with the state and the now chastened oligarchy. They were offended by Aldsworth's insistence that communion bread and wine were only symbolically the flesh and blood of Christ. Now the church was officially recognized to be English, not foreign and overly Latinate, nothing more needed to change. Sturdy supporters of a moderate Elizabethan settlement, Restall, John George, John Morse, Thomas Monox and William Vyner were called before the ecclesiastical commissioners in November 1574, to explain their refusal to attend church. They complained 'that the minister will not minister the communion according to the booke of comen prayer and the injunctions'. Their centre of social gravity was higher than that of the conventiclers: Monox was described as 'gent' once, in a 1559 prosecution in the Hundred court for fighting a duel with John Marshall at nearby Culkerton.³⁰ Two years later he served as clerk in the market courts, and perhaps for the vestry.³¹ The first reference to him in the parish register records the burial of his daughter, Ann, on 25 August 1562. A month later his daughter Elizabeth was baptized. Every two years from 1562

to 1572 his wife Joan gave birth to an heir, and the child was buried at the parish church.³² Restall, George and the others closed their pews as soon as moderation was possible.

For them, the new curate Mr Aldsworth was a man of the 'better sort', their religious life.³³ Their objections were not to the new curate, but to Aldsworth to explain himself; he ministered the communion with comen bread and wine, and was licensed for that he was licensed to do by the bishop. He may have been trying to take up where the old curate had left off fifteen years before. Monox, John George and the others objected to Aldsworth's plain preaching style, his insistence on transubstantiation. The commissioners were not so easily relented. By the end of November 1574 the curate (the parishioners) were glad and desired that the curate should be passed'.³⁴ The moderates had their say, but the commissioners were calling for sterner communal medicine.

Aldsworth was the second in a line of ministers who served the parish without being installed. The first was the Catholic Phelps, in 1558, to whom the curate owed something to the fact that since the abbey's dissolution (which had belonged to the abbey, and was now in the hands of absentee lay owners, like the Vyners) the means of controlling the vestry had been in the hands of the wealthy families who had belonged to the abbey in the decades before. They were conservative Catholics or (like Monox) 'better sort' who believed the key difference between Catholics and Protestants concerned language and leadership. The abbey was gone. Why change anything? The curate and gentry who owned the rectory and the vestry were not novelty, and might have thought it was better to stay that they could be dismissed immediately. The curate lasted another two years, and was replaced by John Mortimer (1561-2) who was followed by John Mortimer (1562-3).

²⁹ GA P86 IN 1/1: 19/9/65, 5/10/67, 19/10/67, 19/11/67. His wife 'Mistresse Joane Monox, Wydow' is buried at the parish church 1562.

³⁰ 'Willm Vinar' was listed as a juror in 1559. He was buried at Tetbury parish church 1548 (GDR 4: 84: s. 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 176).

³¹ GA GDR Vol. 31, 81-7; GDR 26, 137.

³² 'Most of the lands in the parish did belong to the abbey, and were exempted for payment of tithes, which was the custom of the abbey. Atkyns, *The Ancient and Present State of*...

²⁹ GCL RV 79.7, View of Frankpledge for Borough of Cirencester, 1560.

³⁰ Beecham, *History of Cirencester*, 168.

³¹ GDR Vol. 17, 115, 148, 220, 261, 267, 277.

and others, yet he was from the same
 Stradford was called into the consistory
 other shopkeepers, William Whiting
 their shoppes upon the ymber dayes
 They were butchers, but with quite
 clear.²⁹ A warning by the bishop to
 closing their businesses on holy days
 they were charged by Mr Aldsworth,
 and continuing to open their shops
 made their point and went back to
 refused to take 'a corporall othe upon
 their ways, and were imprisoned at

appointed him, but he may have been
 (1570) offended at least two groups of
 'protestant' factions, united momen-
 tarily against the old regime and the contin-
 uation of the queen. The survivalists, led
 by chief ally, Christopher George, were
 a limited remnant. They still held the reins
 of power. Aldsworth may, at first, have
 been with regard to 'visible signs'. Thomas
 from what the next generation would
 were orthodox Elizabethans: God- and
 servants, moderate and willing to compro-
 mised oligarchy. They were offended by
 in bread and wine were only symboli-
 cally the church was officially recognized to
 separate, nothing more needed to change.
 settlement, Restall, John George,
 and Vynor were called before the eccle-
 siastical court in 1574, to explain their refusal to attend
 minister will not minister the communion
 and the injunctions'. Their centre of
 the conventiclers: Monox was described
 in the Hundred court for fighting a duel
 in 1572. Two years later he served as clerk
 of the vestry.³¹ The first reference to him in
 his daughter, Ann, on 25 August 1562.
 was baptized. Every two years from 1562

of Cirencester, 1560.

to 1572 his wife Joan gave birth to another child, who was religiously baptized
 at the parish church.³² Restall, George, Morse, Monox and Vynor were back in
 their pews as soon as moderation was restored.

For them, the new curate Mr Aldsworth was taking reformation too far.
 Conformists of the 'better sort', they were sturdy contributors to local civic and
 religious life.³³ Their objections were taken seriously. The judge summoned
 Aldsworth to explain himself; he 'confess'd part therof' admitting that 'He
 ministred the communion with comen bread and... did not weare the surplesse
 for that he was licensed to do by the High Commission at London.' Aldsworth
 may have been trying to take up where Zwinglian John Hooper had been cut
 off fifteen years before. Monox, John George, Viner, Morse and Restall disliked
 Aldsworth's plain preaching style, lack of ceremonial and demystification of
 transubstantiation. The commissioners asked to see his licence. Aldsworth
 relented. By the end of November he had 'lately conformed, for the which
 (the parishioners) were glad and desired (his) lordship's favour for any offence
 passed'.³⁴ The moderates had their say, but as we shall see, circumstances were
 calling for sterner communal medicine.

Aldsworth was the second in a list of no fewer than eleven ministers who
 served the parish without being instituted as vicars or rectors from the death
 of the Catholic Phelps, in 1558, to the end of the century. The high turnover
 owed something to the fact that since the accession of Elizabeth I the rectory
 (which had belonged to the abbey, and then passed to the crown) was in the
 hands of absentee lay owners, like Christopher George, who viewed it as a
 means of controlling the vestry. Tithes, where they were applicable at all,³⁵
 belonged to the wealthy families who had bought up most of the property
 belonging to the abbey in the decades following the dissolution. Several were
 conservative Catholics or (like Monox, Viner, Morse and Restall) 'Angli-
 cans' who believed the key differences between the old church and the new
 concerned language and leadership. The pope was replaced by the monarch.
 The abbey was gone. Why change anything else? The wealthy businessmen
 and gentry who owned the rectory and the tithes had little interest in religious
 novelty, and might have thought it wise to employ men on short contracts so
 that they could be dismissed immediately if they caused trouble. Aldsworth
 lasted another two years, and was replaced by William Woodlande in 1576. He
 was followed by John Mortimer (1580), John Stone (1581), Nicholas Kekke

³² GA P86 IN 1/1: 19/9/65, 5/10/67, 19/9/65, 5/10/67 (bur 14/10/67), 16/6/69, 30/5/72;
 his wife 'Mistresse Joane Monox, Wydow' bur 18/2/1615.

³³ 'Willm Vinar' was listed as a juror in 1560: GCL RV 79.7; John Morse, sidesman at
 Tetbury parish church 1548 (GDR 4: 84); sidesman at Cirencester 1551 and 1559 (GDR
 5: 24, 176).

³⁴ GA GDR Vol. 31, 81-7; GDR 26, 137 (Morse).

³⁵ 'Most of the lands in the parish did belong to the abbey, for which reason they are
 exempted for payment of tithes, which would otherwise be £300 a year': Sir Robert
 Atkyns, *The Ancient and Present State of Gloucestershire* (Gloucester 1712), 342.

(1585) and Philip Jones (1586). We shall meet Jones, a fiery, class-obsessed preacher, in due course.

Aldsworth was persuaded to moderate his 'Presbyterian' iconoclasm. He was a few years ahead of his time. This reversal may have led to more pressure being applied to the Bradford-Ireland group, who regarded the new regime as little different from the old and absolutely refused to submit to its rules and rituals. They would not attend church, refused to have their children baptized, and insisted on their duty to keep their businesses open on Sundays and feast days. This must have caused quite a stir in the town, especially the paradoxical belief of this sect that it was their religious duty to conduct that most profane of activities, trade, on holy days. These were indeed what Christopher Hill termed 'the industrious sort of people' who believed their ministers should reflect their needs and beliefs.

Obstinate radicals like them had been burned as Lollards a century earlier. Thomas Bradford appeared again in the consistory court in 1570, when he explained that he refused to attend church because 'he cannot be edified by a godlie and learned man' there. Two years later he, James Ireland and others confessed to working on feast days and holy days; Bishop Cheyney admonished and let them go. In November 1573 they were still refusing to close their shops on holy days and to receive communion at the parish church. Their fellow sectarian, William Phelpes, told the commission in 1574 that he 'would have malefactors and papists excluded out of the church', suggesting that catholic influence, at least as Phelpes understood it, remained strong. Thomas Bradford repeated his reasons for not attending church and was sent back to jail: he 'saith for that the minister doth followe men's tradicion and do not minister to Gode's word'. Whiting refused the commission's order to baptize his children, on the grounds that the church font was 'a wicked and abominable trowe'. He 'arogantlie sayd that he would followe the quenes majesties lawes and her highnes proceedinges so far further as the same did agree to gods worde and not otherwyse'. 'He utterlye mislyketh to have godfathers and godmothers to the baptising of children.' The commissioners sent him back 'to (Gloucester) castell ... for his unsemely wordes'.

His wife Elizabeth kept the torch lit: she refused to 'have her child christened for that it is superstitious and not agreeable to Godes word and that it was devised by Pope Pius'. She was in court that day with Joan Arnold, who affirmed she 'would not attend church for that the service of God is not ministred accordinge to the worde, and for that the minister doth bid holidayes and fasting daies'. Ann Bradford failed to turn up on this occasion and was excommunicated. She must have been arrested and sent to the castle, for she remained adamant at a meeting of the court on 21 December and was 'remanded' until 13 January. Elizabeth Whiting was not committed to join her husband in the cells at Gloucester Castle, perhaps because the court was reluctant to imprison a mother with a baby, and hoped she might be turned by an encounter with powerful Cotswold gentlemen. She was ordered 'to repaire to my lord Chandois, Sir Giles Poole and Sir John Tracie, for her enlargement'.

These men, the heaviest of the heavy, had been called in to add secular force to the commissioners. The commissioners had secular powers available to the church courts.

In February a fourth woman, Ann Whiting, named John Butler were sworn 'before the Esq. and Richard Green' at the christening of Whyttinge's child'. But it was therefore ordered that she be removed on 10 March, when the gentlemen would again refused to 'receyve the communion for want of lerning to know what she would move her'.³⁷ Asked again on 15 April 'that heretofore. And after many words not worthy noting' the gentlemen gave her simplicities ... hoping she will be moved May.³⁸

Sir Giles Poole met Elizabeth Whiting which she seemed to agree to have her child as an excuse for not having done so. In December for having Whiting's child baptized and two other of the substantial inhabitants, the minister, churchwardens, constable and the child themselves to be christened in jail, proving his obstinacy at another meeting the commissioners 'there is more to be done there was'. The millenarian undertone of his he 'cried out with a loud voice and upon the majestrates, rulers and governors both prince and people for the many other abomination and wickedness' of the cells were too good for him. He was sent there to remayne until the said commissioners take further order for his enlargement by very well mean whippings, or the rack.

A fortnight later later Giles Poole met Sapperton, where he asked her again to

³⁶ Price (ed.), *Commission for Ecclesiastical* ecclesiastical authority see Price, 'Communion' the decay of civil authority at this time.

³⁷ Price (ed.), *Commission for Ecclesiastical*

³⁸ *Ibid.*, 80.

³⁹ *Ibid.*, 134.

⁴⁰ *Ibid.*, 65, 78.

will meet Jones, a fiery, class-obsessed
 his 'Presbyterian' iconoclasm. He was
 may have led to more pressure
 who regarded the new regime as
 refused to submit to its rules and
 refused to have their children baptized,
 businesses open on Sundays and feast
 in the town, especially the paradoxical
 duty to conduct that most profane
 were indeed what Christopher Hill
 who believed their ministers should

burned as Lollards a century earlier.
 consistory court in 1570, when he
 because 'he cannot be edified by
 later he, James Ireland and others
 days; Bishop Cheyney admonished
 were still refusing to close their shops
 at the parish church. Their fellow
 in 1574 that he 'would have
 church', suggesting that catholic
 remained strong. Thomas Bradford
 and was sent back to jail: he
 tradition and do not minister to
 order to baptize his children,
 'a wicked and abominable trowe'.
 the queenes majesties lawes and her
 some did agree to gods worde and
 have godfathers and godmothers to
 sent him back 'to (Gloucester)

refused to 'have her child chris-
 agreeable to Godes word and that
 court that day with Joan Arnold,
 that the service of God is not
 that the minister doth bid holid-
 to turn up on this occasion and
 arrested and sent to the castle,
 the court on 21 December and was
 was not committed to join her
 perhaps because the court was reluc-
 she might be turned by an
 She was ordered 'to repaire to
 John Tracie, for her enlargement'.

These men, the heaviest of the heavyweight gentry of Gloucestershire, had been called in to add secular force to the floundering diocesan authorities.³⁶ The commissioners had secular powers to interrogate and punish that were not available to the church courts.

In February a fourth woman, Ann Cole, and another Cirencester resident named John Butler were sworn 'before Sir [Giles] Poole, Richard Baynham Esq. and Richard Green' at the Poole house at Sapperton, 'concerning the christening of Whyttinge's child'. 'Finding greate obstinacy in the said Anne, it was therefore ordered that she be committed to the gaile of Cirencestre' until 10 March, when the gentlemen would interview her again. A month later she again refused to 'receyve the communion ... because she is not worthy thereof for want of lerning to know what she doth receive until such time as God shall move her'.³⁷ Asked again on 15 April, Anne Cole 'sayd ... she hathe declared that heretofore. And after many wordes by her uttering touching her opinion not worthy noting' the gentlemen gave her another month 'in consideracon her simplicities ... hoping she will receive one this side the next court' in May.³⁸

Sir Giles Poole met Elizabeth Whiting privately on several occasions on which she seemed to agree to have her child baptized, only to come up with an excuse for not having done so. In December 1574 Poole assigned responsibility for having Whiting's child baptized to 'the churchwardens, constables and two other of the substantiall inhabitants of Cicester'. When this failed 'the minister, churchwardens, constable and five parishioners were ordered to take the child themselves to be christened'.³⁹ Her husband remained in Gloucester jail, proving his obstinacy at another hearing in March 1575, when he told the commissioners 'there is more tyranie nowe in these daies used than ever there was'. The millenarian underpinnings of his belief were disclosed when he 'cried out with a loud voice and said that God would take vengeance upon the majestrates, rulers and governors of this realm and wuld rote out both prince and people for the mayntenaunce of idolatrie, supersticon and all other abomination and wickedness'. The gentlemen decided that the castle cells were too good for him. He was 'comited to the comon gaile of Gloucester, there to remayne until the said commissioners, or others there colleagues shall take further order for his enlargement'.⁴⁰ 'Enlargement', in this context, could very well mean whippings, or the rack.

A fortnight later later Giles Poole had Elizabeth Whiting brought to Sapperton, where he asked her again if her child had been baptized. He had,

³⁶ Price (ed.), *Commission for Ecclesiastical Causes*, 64, 72, 77-8, 141-3; for decay of ecclesiastical authority see Price, 'Commission for Ecclesiastical Causes', 93-4, and for the decay of civil authority at this time, 93, n. 195.

³⁷ Price (ed.), *Commission for Ecclesiastical Causes*, 77.

³⁸ *Ibid.*, 80.

³⁹ *Ibid.*, 134.

⁴⁰ *Ibid.*, 65, 78.

she said, but not at Cirencester. The child had been baptized 'by one Woodland, minister at Hawxbury, on the lord's day last, as she termed it'. Poole and his fellow commissioners were suspicious. Why would she have travelled across the wolds to the sprawling clothworker parish of Hawkesbury, when the job could be done just as well at Cirencester? Who, they asked, were the godparents? It became clear that all their coercion would not change her views. She said she 'utterly mislyked of and would not have [godparents] so termed, but cauled witnesses to the baptising'. As for the baptism, 'she was not present thereat', it 'being done by the procurement of the minstre contrarye to her husband's will'.⁴¹ Asked why she still refused to take communion, she answered that 'she wyll not be tyed unto tymes and for other causes which she wold wyshe to be reformed'. Poole asked her again and she said 'she was content to receive at the handes of a minister that were a messenger sent from God, the evill being putt away and [the communion being administered only to] sanctes'.

The point made by these early 'dissenters' was that not even the most powerful men in the county would convince them if it went against the inner voice of conscience, something closely resembling the 'inner voice' of the later Quakers. Oddly patient with the women's recalcitrance, Poole ordered them to reform and return a month later.⁴² There is even a hint that Poole found himself respecting these quietly defiant and 'simple' women.

Voices like theirs disappear from the sources – though probably not from the town – until, in the 1650s, we encounter the *Sufferings* of Quakers like Theophila Townsend.⁴³ Stereotyping them along later sectarian lines is reasonable – they probably founded the Anabaptist tradition that resurfaced in the 1630s and is well evidenced at Cirencester in the 1650s. At this time it may be more accurate to describe them as 'seekers' convinced that God, no respecter of persons, spoke exclusively to the private consciences of individual women and men. At the very least it must be said that this 'opinion' attracted some strong women.

Sir Giles Poole was a reputed 'cavalier'. A witness in a gentry divorce case heard before John Hooper in 1551–2 reported Alice Compton, wife of Walter Compton Esq., as saying 'she had lever kepe Sir Gieles Poole's hounds and hawks than to be Walter Compton's wife and that Walter Compton was a lusty child, but Sir Gieles Poole was a frowlicker'. Alice personally confessed 'she had received a bracelet with gold of the said Sir Gieles Poole' and another witness testified 'that he had hard oon Thomas Webb now departed, this depo-

nents fellowe in howsehold in the ... as they were bothe in bed together ... Sir Gieles Poole had to do with her ... servant to Walter and now to Poole ... was a 'whoor bitch'.⁴⁴ Poole may have ... another distinguishing mark of Hooper ... ingness to take on the gentry of the ... example. Those who followed him were ... Poole's neighbour, Christopher Geare ... allegations concerning the neglect of ...

Post-Hooper officials of Gloucester ... secular magnates to impose the Elizabethan ... catholic right and the protestant left ... tigated by Poole and his fellow comm ... the radical, often millenarian, stream ... to be absent from the town and Seven ... A more moderate – non-separatist ... ally became dominant at Cirencester ... mercurial and unpredictable ingredien ... what chaotic, field of force. One thing ... the middle ranks of the trade and craft ... who filled the minor positions in the ... Restalls, Viners and Morses – possibly ... alist manorial cabal, who applied the ... the hazy requirements of the Elizabethan ... Abbey House, Thomas Master, seems ... this conformist branch of the bourge ... powerful but ageing *bons bourgeois* Str ... their survivalist traditions.

In Chapter 11 it will be suggested th ... in the 1580s. In the wake of the mar ... following the papal bull of 1570, the ... under the leadership of Giles Selwyn ... thing to do with national politics, in th ... decade after the town had been grant ... with what, in the eighteenth centur ... chise: 'the right to vote belonged to ... town for at least six months and wa ... Michael, was now married to the dau ... ford, his partner in purchases of the ...

⁴¹ *Ibid.*, 37, 57–60, 74–6, 81–7; Price, 'Commission for Ecclesiastical Causes', 161ff.

⁴² Price, *Commission*, 80.

⁴³ For Cirencester Quakers, see Rollison, *Local Origins*, Ch. 7; 'Theophila Townsend's testimony concerning the Life, Death and Sufferings of Amariah Drewet lately deceased', in *Some Testimonies of the Life, Death and Sufferings of Amariah Drewet of Cirencester in Gloucestershire, lately deceased* (London 1687), 8–9, conveys something of Townsend's confident voice.

⁴⁴ GDR Walter Compton v Alice Compton, 23 Dec. 1551.

⁴⁵ R.W. Jenkins, 'The Cirencester Contest

... had been baptized 'by one Wood-
... day last, as she termed it'. Poole and
... Why would she have travelled across
... parish of Hawkesbury, when the job
... Who, they asked, were the godpar-
... would not change her views. She
... not have [godparents] so termed, but
... for the baptism, 'she was not present
... of the minstre contrarye to her
... used to take communion, she answered
... and for other causes which she wold
... again and she said 'she was content
... that were a messenger sent from God,
... communion being administered only to]

... dissenters' was that not even the most
... since them if it went against the inner
... resembling the 'inner voice' of the later
... recalcitrance, Poole ordered them
... There is even a hint that Poole found
... and 'simple' women.

... sources - though probably not from
... under the *Sufferings* of Quakers like
... along later sectarian lines is reason-
... puritan tradition that resurfaced in the
... in the 1650s. At this time it may be
... convinced that God, no respecter
... the consciences of individual women
... that this 'opinion' attracted some

... A witness in a gentry divorce case
... named Alice Compton, wife of Walter
... kept Sir Gieles Poole's hounds and
... and that Walter Compton was a
... whicker'. Alice personally confessed
... the said Sir Gieles Poole' and another
... James Webb now departed, this depo-

... for Ecclesiastical Causes', 161ff.

... signs, Ch. 7; 'Theophila Townsend's
... of Amariah Drewet lately deceased',
... of Amariah Drewet of Cirencester in
... conveys something of Townsend's

nents fellowe in howsehold in the howse of the said Walter (Compton) saie as they were bothe in bed together and that his dame was a whore and that Sir Gieles Poole had to do with her'. He had also heard 'oon West', formerly servant to Walter and now to Poole, say as they went hunting that his dame was a 'whoor bitch'.⁴⁴ Poole may have been past 'frolicking' in the 1570s, but another distinguishing mark of Hooper's short spell as bishop had been a willingness to take on the gentry of the diocese, whom he expected to set a good example. Those who followed him were not so courageous, which may be why Poole's neighbour, Christopher George, was never summoned to answer the allegations concerning the neglect of the parish of Cirencester.

Post-Hooper officials of Gloucester diocese needed the support of the secular magnates to impose the Elizabethan settlement on recusants from the catholic right and the protestant left. The group of 16 men and women investigated by Poole and his fellow commissioners represent the first 'dissenters', the radical, often millenarian, stream of English protestantism that was never to be absent from the town and Seven Hundreds from the 1560s to the 1650s. A more moderate - non-separatist, presbyterian - congregationalism gradually became dominant at Cirencester after the 1580s. Yet religion remained a mercurial and unpredictable ingredient in a complex and shifting, even somewhat chaotic, field of force. One thing is certain: the 'dissenters' came from the middle ranks of the trade and craft community - as, indeed, did tradesmen who filled the minor positions in the government of the town - the Monoxes, Restalls, Viners and Morses - possibly ex-catholics, or subalterns of the survivalist manorial cabal, who applied the most conservative reading possible to the hazy requirements of the Elizabethan settlement. The new occupant of Abbey House, Thomas Master, seems to have become identified as a leader of this conformist branch of the bourgeoisie. Above them was the oligarchy, the powerful but ageing *bons bourgeois* Stranges, Chapperlens and Georges, with their survivalist traditions.

In Chapter 11 it will be suggested that the survivalists' last stand took place in the 1580s. In the wake of the movement to dislodge the 'papist' oligarchs following the papal bull of 1570, the Guild Merchant movement was revived under the leadership of Giles Selwyn. The issues may well have had something to do with national politics, in that the struggle clearly reappeared in the decade after the town had been granted the status of Parliamentary Borough, with what, in the eighteenth century, would be called a 'pot-walloper' franchise: 'the right to vote belonged to every householder who had lived in the town for at least six months and was not receiving alms'.⁴⁵ Strange's son, Michael, was now married to the daughter of a knight, Sir Anthony Hungerford, his partner in purchases of the old abbey buildings in the 1540s. Strange

⁴⁴ GDR Walter Compton v Alice Compton his wife Divorce 12, 19, 28 Nov., 4, 7, 14, 23 Dec. 1551.

⁴⁵ R.W. Jenkins, 'The Cirencester Contest', *Trans BGAS* 92 (1973), 158.

COMMUNE, COUNTRY AND COMMONWEALTH

had been appointed as bailiff by the last abbot, and was reappointed by every succeeding lord up to the most recent, Sir John Danvers, who purchased the town in 1563, and with whom the queen lodged when she visited Cirencester in August 1592.⁴⁶ Danvers was a member of the earl of Essex's retinue, and was knighted by Essex in 1591. His son was executed in March 1601 for participating in the Essex rebellion.⁴⁷ The evidence suggests that Strange was very much the representative of the traditional manorial authority-structures that had maintained their grip on the town, by appointment of the Crown, since the Reformation – and, indeed, since the reign of Richard I.

From the late 1560s to the 1580s a gradually emerging 'party' of businessmen, artisans and retailers, some 'dissenters' and separatists, a majority not, challenged a 'papist' triumvirate. Divisions between survivalist *majores* like Strange and George, and *mediocres* like Selwyn and his supporters meant that, for whatever reasons, the customary duties of the 'better' and 'middle' sorts to work together for communal harmony were, demonstrably, not being fulfilled. In economic and demographic circumstances to be more fully explicated in due course, failure of pastoral care and local government created 'murmuring' among the resident population, threatening dissolution of good order into class struggle of the lower ranks of the commonalty against their employers. The gaps that had always existed between these 'layers' or 'levels' of the trade community were becoming ideological as well as material and political. In the midst of this religious fragmentation was an increasingly millenarian, prophetic element, a conviction that the troubled times through which the town was passing were signs that the *last daies* were imminent. Events at Cirencester in the late 1570s were to suggest they might be right.

⁴⁶ 'Her highness lodged at Sir John Danvers new House ...', 'Queen Elizabeth's Progress in Gloucestershire', transcription of 'MS Book in the possession of the Corporation of Gloucester', *Gloucestershire Notes and Queries*, IV, 379.

⁴⁷ Baddeley, *A History*, 239–40.

Phoenix arising:
1563

Now the red pestilence
And occupations pe

Before considering what eventually led to the communal revival of the later sixteenth and seventeenth centuries – it is necessary to explore the contexts with which all the inhabitants of the town had to deal as a matter of course. The plague reconstituted aspects of communal life that had been earlier sources. This chapter focuses on the town's a relentless series of severe mortality crises and population growth. Mortality crises had been common. This chapter chronicles a continuing series of mortality incidence, in 1577–9, 1597 and possibly 1603, crises more acute than any since the late fifteenth century impacted directly on religious sensibilities and governance, for as Keith Wrightson has noted in relating outbreak of the most feared disease: "in the eyes of contemporaries 'the wrathful displeasure ... to the Commonwealth where it is'".¹

In the second half of the sixteenth century the town grew for the first time since the thirteenth century. From 1570 to 1660, the Seven Hundred years crisis comparable with that of 1250–1300, the townspeople had exploded into

¹ 'The dystopic vision of the plague series ... the deepest anxieties of the time': Wrightson, *The Plague and the Plague* (Yale 2011, forthcoming), 59–60; I am grateful to Keith Wrightson for his MS, and for permission to quote from it.